

# ECO 650: Firms' Strategies and Markets

## Innovation

Claire Chambolle

15/12/2024



# Exercise 1:

## Assumptions:

- ▶ Consider that consumers are uniformly distributed along the Hotelling line  $[0, 1]$ .
- ▶ Two firms 1 and 2 are located at the extreme.
- ▶ Consumers incurs a quadratic transportation cost and the utility is of the form :  $V - td^2 - p$  where  $d = |x_i - x|$  is the distance to firm  $i$ .
- ▶ We apply the model of Federico, Angus & Valletti (2017) and thus look for the profit that firms obtain in all cases, i.e.  $\Pi_1$ ,  $\pi_2$  and  $\Pi_2$ .

## Questions:

1. Determine  $\Pi_1$ , i.e. the profit when only firm is active, firm 1 say.
  - a) Determine the demand of firm 1 for  $V > 3t$ .
  - b) Write down the profit of firm 1 and determine its optimal price and the value of  $\Pi_1$ .
2. Determine the profit  $\pi_2$  when the two firms are active on the market.
3. Determine the profit  $\Pi_2$  that a merged entity would get from a second innovation.
4. Is there more or less innovation after the merger?

1. Determine  $\Pi_1$ , i.e. the profit when only firm is active , firm 1 say for  $V > 3t$ .

a) Determine the demand of firm 1.

The address of the consumer indifferent between buying the product or not is  $V - tx^2 - p \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{x} = \left(\frac{V-p}{t}\right)^{1/2}$

b) Write down the profit of firm 1 and determine its optimal price and the value of  $\Pi_1$ .

The profit of firm 1 is  $p\left(\frac{V-p}{t}\right)^{1/2}$ . It is maximized for  $p_1 = \frac{2V}{3}$  and the corresponding demand is  $\left(\frac{V}{3t}\right)^{1/2}$ . However, for  $V > 3t$  it means that the demand is larger than 1 which is not possible.

This implies that in equilibrium the market is covered, all consumers are served and the price is the largest such that it serves all consumers, i.e.  $p_1 = V - t$ , and  $\Pi_1 = V - t$ .

## Exercise 1: Solution

2. Determine the profit  $\pi_2$  when the two firms are active on the market.

Here, we determine the address of the consumer indifferent between the two firms.

$$V - tx^2 - p = V - t(1-x)^2 - p \Leftrightarrow \tilde{x} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(p_1 - p_2)}{2t}.$$

Thus firm 1 maximizes

$$p_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(p_1 - p_2)}{2t} \right)$$

with respect to  $p_1$ . The FOC is :

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p_1}{t} + \frac{p_2}{2t} = 0.$$

Using symmetry, we obtain as usual that  $p_1 = p_2 = t$  and  $\pi_2 = \frac{t}{2}$ .

## Exercise 1: Solution

- Determine the profit  $\Pi_2$  that a merged entity would get from a second innovation.
  - ▶ If the merged entity has one innovation, it obtains  $\Pi_1$ .
  - ▶ With two innovations, it can instead of competing coordinate the prices of the two labs.
  - ▶ Suppose that the merged firm sets the same price  $p$  at both labs. It serves all consumers as long as the consumer located at the center, i.e. in  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  buys the product, i.e. as long as  $p \leq V - \frac{t}{4}$ .  
Therefore,  $\Pi_2 = V - \frac{t}{4}$ .

4. Is there more or less innovation after the merger?

▶ We directly apply the condition of Federico, Angus &Valletti (2017)

▶  $\Pi_2 - \Pi_1 = (V - \frac{t}{4}) - (V - t) = \frac{3t}{4}$ .

▶  $\pi_2 = \frac{t}{2}$  and therefore we have that  $\Pi_2 - \Pi_1 \geq \pi_2$  which implies that there is more innovation after the merger.

Conclusion: in presence of strong differentiation among innovations, the merger boosts the incentives to innovate.